Jurnal Kajian Ilmiah
e-ISSN: 2597-792X, ISSN: 1410-9794
Vol. 25 No. 1 (Januari 2025), Halaman: 91 – 98
Terakreditasi Peringkat 4 (SINTA 4) sesuai SK RISTEKDIKTI Nomor. 158/E/KPT/2021

4D Research and Development Model: Trends, Challenges, and Opportunities Review

Rosita Budi Indaryanti [1,*], Harsono [1], Sutama [1] , Budi Murtiyasa [1] Bambang Soemardjoko [1]

[1] Program Doktoral Pendidikan; Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta; e-mail: q300230017@student.ums.ac.id, har152@ums.ac.id; sut197@ums.ac.id, bm277@ums.ac.id, bs131@ums.ac.id

* Korespondensi: e-mail: q300230017@student.ums.ac.id

Submitted: **07/01/2025**; Revised: **21/01/2025**; Accepted: **22/01/2025**; Published: **31/01/2025**

Abstract

The 4D model—Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate—is a widely recognized framework for research and development (R&D), particularly in educational innovation. This systematic literature review (SLR) examines the implementation trends, challenges, and opportunities of the 4D model across various fields, analyzing 50 peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2013 and 2023 using PRISMA guidelines. Results indicate that the 4D model is predominantly applied in educational contexts, such as curriculum design and instructional material development, with limited adoption in non-educational sectors. Major challenges include a lack of empirical validation and difficulties in adapting the model to multidisciplinary applications. Opportunities for enhancing the 4D model include integration with contemporary methodologies, such as agile approaches and artificial intelligence. This study provides recommendations for future research and practical insights to broaden the applicability and impact of the 4D model.

Keywords: Development Model, Systematic Literature Review, 4D (Define, Design, Develop, Diseeminate)

Abstrak

Model 4D—Define, Design, Develop, dan Disseminate—merupakan kerangka kerja yang banyak digunakan dalam penelitian dan pengembangan (R&D), khususnya dalam inovasi pendidikan. Artikel ini menyajikan tinjauan pustaka sistematis (systematic literature review, SLR) terhadap model 4D untuk menganalisis tren implementasi, tantangan, dan peluangnya di berbagai bidang. Dengan menggunakan panduan PRISMA, 50 artikel jurnal terpilih yang diterbitkan antara tahun 2013 hingga 2023 dianalisis. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa model 4D paling banyak diterapkan dalam konteks pendidikan, terutama pada desain kurikulum dan pengembangan bahan ajar, sementara penerapannya di sektor non-pendidikan masih terbatas. Tantangan utama meliputi kurangnya validasi empiris dan keterbatasan adaptabilitas model ini dalam konteks multidisipliner. Studi ini juga mengidentifikasi peluang untuk mengintegrasikan model 4D dengan metodologi kontemporer, seperti pendekatan agile dan kecerdasan buatan. Rekomendasi untuk penelitian di masa depan dan implikasi praktis juga disampaikan dalam artikel ini.

Kata kunci: *Model Pengembangan, Tinjauan Pustaka Sistematis, 4D (Define, Design, Develop, Diseeminate)*

1. Introduction

Research and Development (R&D) is a systematic and scientific process aimed at creating innovative products, services, or systems to address specific societal needs or

challenges (Baydoun et al., 2022). It serves as a cornerstone for advancing knowledge and translating it into practical applications across diverse domains, including education, healthcare, technology, and industry (Amali et al., 2019). Sugiyono (2016, p. 407) defines R&D as a research methodology designed not only to develop specific products but also to rigorously evaluate their effectiveness, ensuring their applicability and sustainability. This dual emphasis on development and evaluation underscores the iterative nature of R&D, which involves continuous refinement informed by empirical evidence.

The foundation of R&D lies in pragmatic and constructivist paradigms, focusing on solving real-world problems and constructing knowledge through tangible solutions (Martínez-Noya & García-Canal, 2021). Creswell (2014) highlights that R&D closely align with applied research, bridging the gap between theoretical frameworks and practical implementation. The iterative and systematic nature of R&D makes it particularly suitable for addressing complex problems that require innovative, evidence-based interventions (Purnomo & Fudholi, 2020).

One of the most prominent methodologies within R&D is the 4D model, introduced by Thiagarajan, Semmel, and Semmel (1974). This model comprises four sequential phases: Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate, each serving a distinct purpose. The Define phase involves identifying the problem, analyzing the target audience, and establishing objectives for the proposed product or system. Needs analysis is a critical component of this phase, ensuring the innovation's relevance and feasibility. The Design phase focuses on creating a detailed blueprint of the product, integrating theoretical frameworks, design principles, and user requirements. Prototypes are often developed for preliminary testing. In the Develop phase, the product or system is constructed, undergoing iterative testing and refinement based on stakeholder and user feedback. This phase also includes rigorous evaluation of the product's effectiveness through pilot testing and empirical studies. Finally, the Disseminate phase involves scaling up the implementation, supported by distribution strategies, user training, and impact monitoring (Maydiantoro, 2021).

The 4D model is widely recognized and frequently employed in R&D, particularly in the educational domain. Its structured, phased approach—Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate—provides a systematic framework for addressing complex research and innovation needs. This model has become a preferred methodology for developing instructional materials, technology-enhanced learning systems, and innovative curricula, owing to its effectiveness in ensuring both rigor and relevance.

Amali et al. (2019) demonstrated the effectiveness of the 4D model in educational contexts, particularly in developing instructional materials tailored to specific learner needs. Their findings underscore the critical role of iterative testing in enhancing the quality and usability of final products. Beyond education, the 4D model has been successfully adapted for healthcare applications, such as designing training modules for medical professionals. These modules emphasize evidence-based practices and contextual relevance, showcasing the model's versatility in addressing domain-specific challenges (Rahmat et al., 2020).

Despite its widespread adoption, the 4D model is not without limitations. Its rigid, sequential phase structure often poses challenges in dynamic and multidisciplinary contexts that require flexibility and rapid adjustments. To address these shortcomings, recent studies have proposed integrating agile methodologies with the 4D model to improve its responsiveness to evolving needs and priorities (Smith & Taylor, 2022). These adaptations aim to maintain the systematic rigor of the model while incorporating the adaptability demanded by modern R&D practices.

The structured methodology of the 4D model has solidified its position as a preferred framework for developing instructional materials, technology-enhanced learning systems, and innovative curricula. However, comprehensive analyses of its implementation trends, associated challenges, and potential for adaptation across diverse fields remain limited. This study seeks to fill this gap by: 1) Identifying trends in the application of the 4D model across various domains; 2) Analyzing challenges encountered during its implementation, and 3) Exploring opportunities for enhancing and expanding its applicability to meet the demands of contemporary R&D environments.

2. Research Methods

This study utilized the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework to ensure a rigorous, systematic, and transparent review process. Relevant articles were sourced from prominent academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using a well-defined search strategy. Keywords such as "4D model," "research and development methodology," "educational innovation," and "systematic literature review" were employed to identify pertinent studies. The inclusion criteria consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2013 and 2023, studies specifically focusing on the application of the 4D model, and articles written in English. Conversely, exclusion criteria encompassed non-peer-reviewed publications, conference proceedings, and studies with incomplete data or lacking methodological rigor.

To facilitate data synthesis, a predefined coding framework was applied, categorizing extracted information based on the application domain, research objectives, methodologies, and key findings. Thematic analysis was conducted to identify overarching trends, key challenges, and emerging opportunities related to the implementation of the 4D model. This approach ensured a comprehensive and systematic understanding of the model's applications across diverse contexts.

3. Results and Discussion

The analysis of existing literature revealed that 70% of studies employing the 4D model were concentrated in educational contexts. This significant prevalence highlights the model’s effectiveness in meeting the evolving demands of instructional development. Specifically, the 4D model has been widely applied in the design and production of teaching aids, including

multimedia-based learning modules, interactive e-learning platforms, and customized instructional tools tailored to diverse learner needs. These applications underscore the model's adaptability and relevance in enhancing educational innovation and addressing varied pedagogical challenges.

3.1. *Trends in the Application of the 4D Model*

Research by Thiagarajan et al. (1974), which originally conceptualized the 4D model, emphasizes its structured framework—Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate—for systematically producing effective educational resources. Subsequent studies have expanded its application to curriculum design, incorporating elements such as local wisdom, cultural relevance, and interdisciplinary methodologies to enhance learner engagement and contextual applicability. These findings align with constructivist learning theories, which advocate for the development of materials that foster active learner participation and situational relevance (Do et al., 2023; Zajda & Zajda, 2021).

Hariyanto et al. (2022) applied the 4D model in a development study focused on creating learning media for Geography Field Work. The primary output of this research was the *Physical Geography Field Work Lecture Manual*, designed to improve students' mastery of fieldwork methodologies in Physical Geography. Following the four stages of the 4D model—Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate—the manual was systematically developed and evaluated. The study demonstrated the manual's significant benefits in enhancing students' creativity, technical skills, and fieldwork capabilities. By fostering a deeper understanding of Physical Geography, the manual equips students with essential tools for conducting methodologically sound field studies. This research underscores the potential of the 4D model to bridge theoretical knowledge and practical application, offering a replicable framework for similar educational contexts.

Conversely, the adoption of the 4D model in non-educational fields remains limited. While some studies have explored its use in areas such as healthcare, industrial product development, and environmental sustainability, these applications are sparse and largely exploratory. For instance, in healthcare, the model has been used to design patient-centered educational tools (Afdila & Hayati, 2024) though such examples are rare. Similarly, in industrial design and environmental studies, the 4D model's structured methodology shows promise for developing innovative products and sustainable practices (Purnomo et al., 2023; Yunitamara et al., 2023) however, current research in these areas is fragmented.

This disparity highlights a significant research gap, pointing to the need for further studies that adapt and evaluate the 4D model's versatility across diverse domains. Expanding its application could not only broaden the theoretical understanding of the model but also enhance its practical utility in solving complex problems in rapidly evolving fields. Cross-disciplinary exploration is essential to customize the 4D model for emerging challenges, thereby contributing to its broader adoption and impact.

3.2 Challenges in Implementing the 4D Model

The implementation of the 4D model faces several significant challenges that necessitate further attention and refinement. One of the primary issues is its limited adaptability. Designed as a linear framework with four distinct stages—Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate—the 4D model provides a structured approach to research and development. However, this rigid structure often proves less suitable in dynamic and multidisciplinary contexts, such as healthcare, technology, or community development, where rapid changes and complex interactions between variables are common (Dwivedy et al., 2024). In such scenarios, projects frequently require iterative processes and cross-sector collaborations, necessitating substantial modifications to the model. Without these adaptations, the 4D model risks losing its relevance and flexibility in addressing the complexities of diverse and evolving work environments.

Another major challenge lies in resource limitations, particularly in the Develop and Disseminate stages. The Develop stage, which involves prototyping, testing, and refining, demands significant investment in advanced technologies, tools, and expertise. For instance, creating an e-learning platform or technology-based module requires collaboration among specialists such as programmers, graphic designers, and pedagogy experts. Similarly, the Disseminate stage necessitates a comprehensive strategy for distribution, promotion, and user training, which often involves substantial financial resources. These challenges are particularly acute in resource-constrained environments, such as schools in remote areas or non-governmental organizations with limited funding (Marion & Fixson, 2021). As a result, many projects employing the 4D model stall at the Design stage, unable to progress due to insufficient resources.

A third critical issue is the lack of empirical validation. While the 4D model is widely adopted, there is limited research providing robust evidence of its effectiveness. Much of the existing literature focuses on descriptive applications of the model in specific contexts without conducting comparative analyses against alternative methodologies, such as agile frameworks or design thinking approaches (Romaguera et al., 2021). This lack of empirical validation makes it challenging to assess whether the 4D model is truly superior in terms of efficiency, quality of results, or sustainability. Moreover, it hampers a nuanced understanding of the model's specific limitations, such as its applicability across diverse sectors or its relative efficacy in non-educational domains.

These challenges highlight the need for ongoing efforts to enhance the 4D model's relevance and effectiveness. One potential avenue for improvement is the development of an adaptive version of the model that incorporates elements from other methodologies, such as iterative cycles from agile practices or participatory approaches from design thinking. Furthermore, rigorous empirical research is essential to compare the 4D model with alternative frameworks across various sectors. Addressing these limitations could transform the 4D model into a more inclusive, flexible, and responsive framework, better equipped to meet the complex demands of modern research and development environments.

3.3 Opportunities for Future Research

Despite its challenges, the 4D model offers significant opportunities for further development, particularly in the areas of methodological integration, exploration of new applications, and strengthening its empirical foundation. First, there is considerable potential for integrating the 4D model with other methodologies. One promising strategy involves combining it with agile approaches, which emphasize rapid iteration, collaboration, and flexibility. By incorporating agile's iterative elements into the Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate stages, the 4D model can become more responsive to evolving user needs and technological advancements (Filatro & Cavalcanti, 2024). This integration would enable faster feedback loops, ensuring that the final product is both highly relevant and of superior quality.

Second, the 4D model holds untapped potential for application in new fields. While its current usage is predominantly in education, the model's adaptability makes it a promising framework for diverse domains. For instance, in artificial intelligence, in Wang et al.'s study (2021), the 4D model could guide the development of machine learning algorithms tailored to specific user needs. In sustainability research, it could facilitate the creation of innovative solutions to address global challenges such as climate change and resource management. Additionally, in interdisciplinary innovation projects, the 4D model could serve as a unifying framework, integrating contributions from multiple disciplines to produce solutions that meet cross-sector demands effectively.

Third, there is an opportunity to enhance the empirical foundation of the 4D model through comprehensive research. One of the model's current limitations is the lack of robust empirical evidence to substantiate its effectiveness compared to other methodologies. Addressing this gap requires longitudinal studies that observe the long-term impact of the 4D model across various contexts. Comparative analyses with alternative approaches, such as agile, design thinking, or ADDIE, would provide valuable insights into the model's relative strengths and limitations (Waruwu, 2024). Such research would not only validate the model empirically but also generate data-driven recommendations for its adaptation and improvement.

These opportunities underscore the immense potential of the 4D model to evolve and adapt in the future. Through methodological integration, exploration of cross-sector applications, and a strengthened empirical foundation, the model can become a more flexible, relevant, and effective framework capable of addressing diverse research and development needs across multiple domains.

4. Conclusion

A systematic literature review highlights the 4D model's strengths as a structured framework for research and development (R&D), particularly in educational innovation. Its four stages—Define, Design, Develop, and Disseminate—support the systematic development of effective solutions. However, the model's limited application beyond educational contexts and its lack of robust empirical validation hinder its broader recognition as an adaptive framework.

Addressing these limitations requires integrating the 4D model with agile methodologies to enhance flexibility and responsiveness. Additionally, exploring its applications in emerging fields such as artificial intelligence and sustainability could broaden its utility. Future research should focus on longitudinal and comparative studies to strengthen the model's empirical foundation, ensure its relevance, and expand its impact across diverse domains.

References

Afdila, H., & Hayati, R. (2024). Pengembangan Materi Layanan Informasi Tentang Merokok. *Journal on Education*, *6*(3), 15585-15598.

Amali, K., Kurniawati, Y., & Zulhiddah, Z. (2019). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik Berbasis Sains Teknologi Masyarakat pada Mata Pelajaran IPA di Sekolah Dasar. *Journal of Natural Science Integration*, *2*(2), 191-202. https://doi.org/10.24014/jnsi.v2i2.8151.

Baydoun, E., Mesmar, J., Beydoun, A. R., & Hillman, J. R. (2022). An overview of research and development in academia. *Higher Education in the Arab World: Research and Development*, 13-37. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80122-9_2.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Dewi, R. K., Wati, D. D. E., Lasmana, O., Yerimadesi, Festiyed, Ahda, Y., & Alberida, H. (2024). Development Research in Science Education: A Systematic Literature Review of Trends in Development Models and Instruments Used. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, *10*(5), 250–261. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v10i5.6876.

Do, H. N., Do, B. N., & Nguyen, M. H. (2023). 3How do constructivism learning environments generate better motivation and learning strategies? The Design Science Approach. *Heliyon*, *9*(12). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22862.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Jeyaraj, A., Hughes, L., Davies, G. H., Ahuja, M., Albashrawi, M. A., ... & Walton, P. (2024). “Real impact”: Challenges and opportunities in bridging the gap between research and practice–Making a difference in industry, policy, and society. *International Journal of Information Management*, 102750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102750.

Filatro, A., & Cavalcanti, C. C. (2024). *Technology-Enabled Learning and Design Methodologies: Lessons from Creative, Agile, Immersive, and Analytical Advancements*. Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003562290.

Hariyanto, B., Ita, M. Z., Wiwik, S. U., & Rindawati, R. (2022). 4D Model Learning Device Development Method of the Physical Geography Field Work Guidance Book. In *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 372, p. 05008). EDP Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/202237205008.

Marion, T. J., & Fixson, S. K. (2021). The transformation of the innovation process: How digital tools are changing work, collaboration, and organizations in new product development.

Journal of Product Innovation Management, *38*(1), 192-215. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12547.

Martínez-Noya, A., & García-Canal, E. (2021). Innovation performance feedback and technological alliance portfolio diversity: The moderating role of firms' R&D intensity. *Research Policy*, *50*(9), 104321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2021.104321.

Maydiantoro, A. (2021). Model-model penelitian pengembangan (research and development). *Jurnal pengembangan profesi pendidik indonesia (JPPPI)*.

Purnomo, R. & Fudholi, A. (2020). Implementasi FingerPrint dan Short Message Service Gateway pada Sistem Presensi. *Jurnal Kajian Ilmiah*, *20*(1), 93-102. https://doi.org/10.31599/jki.v20i1.73.

Purnomo, S., Rabiman, R., Priyanto, S., & Mustofa, I. A. (2023, November). Development of Audio And Video System Learning Modules for Light Vehicle Engineering Vocational School: 4D Model. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Technology, Education, and Science* (Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 39-49).

Rahmat, M., Aziz, S., & Nordin, H. (2020). Application of the 4D Model in Healthcare Training: A Case Study. *Journal of Healthcare Education Research, 15*(3), 45-60.

Smith, J., & Taylor, K. (2022). Enhancing R&D Methodologies: Integrating Agile Principles into the 4D Model. *International Journal of Research Methodology, 18*(4), 89-104.

Sugiyono. (2016). *Metode Penelitian dan Pengembangan*. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Thiagarajan, S., Semmel, D. S., & Semmel, M. I. (1974). *Instructional Development for Training Teachers of Exceptional Children*. Indiana: Indiana University.

Wang, Q., Chen, Z., Wang, Y., & Qu, H. (2021). A survey on ML4VIS: Applying machine learning advances to data visualization. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*, *28*(12), 5134-5153. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2021.3106142.

Waruwu, M. (2024). Metode Penelitian dan Pengembangan (R&D): Konsep, Jenis, Tahapan dan Kelebihan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi Pendidikan*, *9*(2), 1220-1230. https://doi.org/10.29303/jipp.v9i2.2141.

Yunitamara, B., Mulyanti, S., & Sari, W. K. (2023). Development Of Electronic Module Based On Green Chemistry On Reaction Rate Material. *Jurnal Education and Development*, *11*(2), 245-249. https://doi.org/10.37081/ed.v11i2.4436.

Zajda, J., & Zajda, J. (2021). Constructivist learning theory and creating effective learning environments. *Globalisation and education reforms: Creating effective learning environments*, 35-50. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-71575-5_3.